1900 Received Agril 6, 1995 @ 11:18 am. Donna A. Bradshaw.

ORIGINAL

1	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	1
2	Paga Alla	
3	Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION	
4	Washington, D.C. 20554	
5		
6	In the Matter of: WT DOCKET NO. 95-11	-
7	Application of	
8	HERBERT L. SCHOENBOHN	
9	Kingshill, Virgin Islands DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL	
10	for Amateur Station and Operator Licenses	
11		
12		İ
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19	-	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	DATE OF CONFERENCE: March 30, 1995 VOLUME: I	
25	PLACE OF CONFERENCE: Washington, D.C. PAGES: 1 - 29	

1	Before the	- i
2	FEDERAL COMMUNICATION Washington, D.C.	
3	,	
4) 	
5	In the matter of:	DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL
6	Application of:)	
7	HERBERT L. SCHOENBOHN) Kingshill, Virgin Islands)	
8	for Amateur Station)	WT Docket No. 95-11
9	and Operator Licenses)	
10		
11	The above-entitled matter conference pursuant to Notice before	Edward Luton,
12	Administrative Law Judge, at 2000 L D.C., in Courtroom No. 3 on Thursday	
13	a.m.	
14	APPEARANCES:	
15	Applicant:	
16	HERBERT L. SCHOENBOHM pro se	
17	On behalf of the Chief, Mass Media E	Bureau:
18	THOMAS FITZ-GIBBONS	
19	TERRENCE E. REIDELER 2025 M. Street, NW	
20	Suite 7212 Washington, D.C. 20554	
21	(202) 418-1321	
22		
23	INDEX	<u>Page</u>
24	Opening Statements By: Judge Luton	5
25	Conference Began: 9:00 a.m. C	onference Ended: 9:35 a.m.

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 MR. FITZ-GIBBONS: We're going to call him. 3 JUDGE LUTON: If we are that means we're going to pay for the call. No, it should be his. That's the way we do 4 5 this thing. As a matter of fact, this has been unusual all the way. Ordinarily, as I remember it, the applicant requests 6 7 use of the speaker phone, makes a request of the Judge. 8 Judge goes through some rigmarole and issues an order in which 9 it's usually stated that the applicant will pay for the call. 10 This all came as a surprise to me. I didn't know anything 11 about a speaker phone until I saw the schedule yesterday with 12 a little asterisk by it indicating that this was to be a 13 conference utilizing a speaker phone. So I wondered who set 14 this thing up and apparently the Bureau did. Is that right? 15 MR. FITZ-GIBBONS: Well, Mr. Schoenbohm had 16 indicated that he couldn't be present and he wanted to be 17 present by speaker phone so I called your secretary. 18 JUDGE LUTON: Right. Well, I think Mr. Schoenbohm 19 should have made the request over here so at least he could 20 have been told that he's the one who pays. Government doesn't 21 pay for these things. So anyway, Mr. Schoenbohm is sitting 22 there in the Virgin Islands I assume waiting for us to call 23 him now. 24 MR. FITZ-GIBBONS: Yes, that's correct, Your Honor. 25 JUDGE LUTON: Well, we'd better do something about

1	that.
2	MR. FITZ-GIBBONS: We can always call him collect,
3	Your Honor.
4	JUDGE LUTON: Sure, if he'll accept the call. If he
5	won't, well, we'll try something else. Who has his number?
6	It's 9 o'clock now. It's time for us to go. Does the Bureau
7	has Mr. Schoenbohm's number?
8	MR. FITZ-GIBBONS: Yes, it's
9	JUDGE LUTON: Can you call him?
10	MR. FITZ-GIBBONS: Yes.
11	JUDGE LUTON: Please. Try collect and see if he'll
12	accept.
13	MR. FITZ-GIBBONS: I don't think he's expecting a
14	collect call, Your Honor.
15	JUDGE LUTON: Well, maybe a brief call to tell him
16	that he's going to have to call us.
17	MR. FITZ-GIBBONS: Okay. Bear with me. Your Honor,
18	shall I tell him that or do you want to
19	JUDGE LUTON: Sure, you can tell him, or I can. It
20	doesn't matter. Since you're going to be talking to him tell
21	him that he's got to foot the bill. Also, all arrangements
22	with the telephone company should have been made by him.
23	(Pause)
24	MR. FITZ-GIBBONS: Mr. Schoenbohm, this is Tom Fitz-
25	Gibbons. The judge would like you to place the call. Yes.

1	Well, I guess you'd have to cross that bridge when you come to
2	it. Well, the judge would like you to make the call.
3	JUDGE LUTON: I'll tell him if I must.
4	MR. FITZ-GIBBONS: I believe the judge would like to
5	speak to you.
6	JUDGE LUTON: Mr. Schoenbohm?
7	MR. SCHOENBOHM: Yes, sir?
8	JUDGE LUTON: This is Judge Luton. We're here for
9	the conference in on your application for Amateur Station
10	Operator Licenses. I didn't know until yesterday that a
11	request had come in though it never came to me.
12	MR. SCHOENBOHM: I can't I can just hear every
13	third word or so. Could you speak just a little closer to
14	the
15	JUDGE LUTON: Sure, let me try. Let me try it this
16	way. Can you hear me better? I didn't know until yesterday
17	that a request had come in for use of a speaker phone at the
18	conference. This was not done properly. The request should
19	have come to me in which event I would at the very least have
20	told you early that the arrangements that's correct, the
21	arrangements with the telephone company would have to made by
22	you and that the call would have to be at your expense. So
23	we're telling you that now and hoping that you will call us
24	back.
25	(Pause)

1	JUDGE LUTON: Well, it may be tremendous or it may
2	not, but whatever it is, the government does not pay. Okay?
3	So, we'll sit here and wait for you to call us back. Yes,
4	please. Thank you. It's only when he calls that we is
5	that right?
6	(Pause)
7	(Phone ringing.)
8	JUDGE LUTON: Hello?
9	MR. SCHOENBOHM: Yes, I'm here.
10	JUDGE LUTON: Good, Mr. Schoenbohm. We're here too.
11	Let's start the conference. I'm going to ask for appearances.
12	Mr. Schoenbohm, you'll be appearing on your own behalf, of
13	course, by speaker phone. And for the Bureau who's appearing?
14	MR. FITZ-GIBBONS: Tom Fitz-Gibbons for the Bureau.
15	MR. REIDELER: Terrence E. Reideler for the Bureau,
16	Your Honor.
17	MR. SCHOENBOHM: Could you spell the last name,
18	Mr. Reideler?
19	MR. REIDELER: R-E-I-D-E-L-E-R.
20	MR. SCHOENBOHM: R-E-I
21	MR. REIDELER: D-E-L-E-R.
22	MR. SCHOENBOHM: I have it.
23	JUDGE LUTON: The hearing designation order has set
24	this case for hearing on June the 13th, 1995. That is a date
25	which will not change. Now, moving up to that date, we're

going to need to establish a couple of other dates depending 2 on what it is that we might face in this case. Since the designation order, Mr. Schoenbohm, placed both burdens of proceeding and proof upon the applicant, I want to ask you 5 what kind of case do you intend to present? That is, what by 6 way of evidence? I don't need to know the particulars but I 7 need to know if you're going to present witnesses on your 8 behalf, for example, because if you are that'll require me to 9 set a date for the submission of the testimony -- the proposed 10 testimony of those witnesses in writing. MR. SCHOENBOHM: 11 I see. 12 JUDGE LUTON: This is what we call exhibits in this 13 case. 14 MR. SCHOENBOHM: Could I not waive the appearance of 15 any witnesses in exchange for affidavits or certifications in 16 lieu of affidavits or statements? 17 JUDGE LUTON: Well, that may be difficult because 18 the Bureau would have no opportunity to cross-examine 19 affidavits, obviously. 20 But I would be faced with the peril MR. SCHOENBOHM: 21 of, of the certification or the affidavit of making a false 22 statement before the Commission and of course as you know the 23 sanctions for that are very heavy. 24 JUDGE LUTON: But before we even get there a

judgment is going to have to be made in this case on the basis

25

1	of the evidence that is taken in here. While you may be
2	correct about the arrangements for false statements down the
3	line
4	MR. SCHOENBOHM: Or false material or
5	JUDGE LUTON: Material.
6	MR. SCHOENBOHM: false evidence.
7	JUDGE LUTON: The Bureau has the right to test in
8	hearing any evidentiary submission that an applicant makes and
9	it does that through cross-examination and I don't see how
10	well, obviously cross-examination cannot occur.
11	MR. SCHOENBOHM: All right, but if these submissions
12	are made in advance of this and if there's anything that's
13	contested that's not stipulated or accepted by the Commission
14	as being factual or a factual statement or a factual record,
15	they may not wish to test it or to and if they do then, of
16	course, I'll have to go to the next step.
17	JUDGE LUTON: Which would be to bring, bring forth
18	the witnesses or reconsider offering that evidence.
19	MR. SCHOENBOHM: Yeah, this, this becomes, this
20	becomes a very, very interesting logistical problem because
21	most of the witnesses are here in the community in the Virgin
22	Islands and as you know we're several thousand miles away.
23	JUDGE LUTON: How many witnesses are you
24	contemplating?
25	MR. SCHOENBOHM: Several dozen.

1	JUDGE LUTON: Several dozen?
2	MR. SCHOENBOHM: They're public officials, the
3	governor, the lieutenant governor, the chief of police, that
4	can attest or that I expect to act to attest to my
5	qualifications.
6	JUDGE LUTON: Um-hum.
7	MR. SCHOENBOHM: People in the community that have
8	assisted. That seems to be an issue at hand so I
9	JUDGE LUTON: Well
10	MR. SCHOENBOHM: If it is a contested issue then I'd
11	like to be able to establish the fact that, that these proofs
12	exist. If those first of all, I should ask what are the
13	qualification requirements, what are the standards? What do I
14	have to meet, what are the burdens? Maybe I should do that
15	with an interrogatory or something and find, find out as this
16	may not be appropriate in a prehearing conference to find out
17	what those burdens are.
18	JUDGE LUTON: No, I'm certainly not going to try to
19	tell you. The designation order referenced several things
20	that if read I'm sure would give you some idea of what you're
21	facing, what you're up against.
22	MR. SCHOENBOHM: Well, Mr. Luton, they, they cite
23	broadcast language, public interest and I'm not aware that
24	I go under the same requirements after reading basis, purpose
25	and scope under Part 97

1	MR. SCHOENBOHM: Well, that might be one of the
2	legal arguments that you'll wish to raise at some point.
3	MR. SCHOENBOHM: But I'd like to know what I'm faced
4	what burdens I'm faced with so I could meet those burdens,
5	if that's
6	JUDGE LUTON: Now, who do you want to tell you that?
7	MR. SCHOENBOHM: The Commission.
8	JUDGE LUTON: Who in the Commission?
9	MR. SCHOENBOHM: Well, I think that would be your
10	position because you have to judge the merits of the
11	arguments.
12	JUDGE LUTON: I'm going to make a judgment on the
13	basis of what's presented to me. I'm not going to be in the
14	position of handing out legal advice, quite frankly, or
15	interpretations of the rules in an effort to guide an
16	applicant through the process. That is not my role.
17	MR. SCHOENBOHM: I understand.
18	JUDGE LUTON: I beg to differ with you. Well
19	MR. SCHOENBOHM: I didn't want to suggest that it
20	was your role. I just thought that, that there would be some
21	standard that is applicable to this particular litigation.
22	JUDGE LUTON: Well, I think maybe there are. I
23	can't imagine that the Commission would have set about on this
24	process considering a license unless at least the Commission
25	had in mind some standard by which any evidence shown is to be

10

1 | measured. Mr. Schoenbohm, I think this is all theoretical.

- 2 This is fluff argument here. There are standards. It's going
- 3 to up to you to discover them and to respond to them. That's
- 4 as far as I'm prepared to go this morning.
- 5 MR. SCHOENBOHM: All right, but you will permit
- 6 discovery then?
- JUDGE LUTON: Well, discovery against the Bureau is
- 8 | a tricky matter. It is something that is not ordinarily
- 9 permitted. I'm not going to tell you now that I will permit
- 10 discovery. I'm going to suggest that you take a look at the
- 11 Commission's rules having to do with discovery where the
- 12 Bureau is concerned and make your own judgment. I'm not
- 13 telling you now that yes, I will permit discovery of the
- 14 Bureau. That is not something that I can ordinarily do.
- MR. SCHOENBOHM: All right.
- JUDGE LUTON: I want to get back to
- 17 the -- well, I think rather than, than trying to ad hoc this
- 18 thing I'm going to proceed the way that we normally proceed in
- 19 every other case, and that is I'm going to set dates for the
- 20 submission of exhibits. By exhibits I'm meaning in this case
- 21 | the testimony of witnesses. I'm going to ask that that
- 22 testimony be reduced to writing and that it be exchanged --
- 23 that it rather be -- well, I don't know whether the Bureau
- 24 intends to make evidentiary submissions or not, but
- 25 Mr. Schoenbohm, I'm telling you that your witnesses' testimony

in written form is to be submitted to the Bureau and to me by May 23, 1995. After the Bureau has had an opportunity to take 3 a look at those submissions it'll make its own judgments about 4 what witnesses that you propose to present, Mr. Schoenbohm, that the Bureau would like to cross-examine. It will notify 5 6 you and that will be your obligation to produce those 7 witnesses. 8 Now, since you apparently envision quite an 9 elaborate case with, as you tell, me several dozens of 10 witnesses, I think you and the Bureau are going to find 11 yourselves talking with one another about at least a couple of things. One is relevance. It's difficult for me, quite 12 13 frankly, to see how several dozens of witnesses can be 14 relevant to what we're faced with here in this case. And I 15 think you and the Bureau will also talk to one another about 16 which of those many witnesses rightfully ought to be brought 17 in here for cross-examination. To the extent that 18 stipulations can be reached, between yourself and the Bureau, 19 so that witnesses won't have to be brought in here, that's 20 another matter that's easily handled. Chances are those 21 evidentiary offerings will be accepted. Chances are, but I 22 make no commitment in that regard. 23 And I'm going to ask that the Bureau give notice to 24 Mr. Schoenbohm of those proposed witnesses that the Bureau wishes to examine by June the 6th. And then on June 13th, a 25

1	week later, we'll go to hearing.
2	So as I have arranged it, we go to hearing on the
3	13th, witnesses are notified about a week prior to that,
4	exhibits are exchanged two weeks prior to June the 6th, on May
5	the 23rd. Mr. Schoenbohm, do you have anything else?
6	MR. SCHOENBOHM: I certainly do. I wanted to find
7	out what you made a statement that you, you did not think
8	that several dozen witnesses would all have something material
9	to this proceeding, and I think that I'm entitled to find out
10	what the litigants in this matter consider material, what they
11	consider probative, what they consider something that they
12	want to look into. I'm in a little bit of a loss to find out
13	what standards, what matters that they're looking for.
14	They're the ones that requested the hearing and there is a
15	burden of me to meet some kind of qualifications that are not
16	established or that haven't been revealed to me and I'd like
17	to find out what, what burdens I must meet.
18	JUDGE LUTON: Mr. Schoenbohm, the only instructions
19	that are going to guide us in this case are the matters stated
20	in the hearing designation order. That is our charter, that
21	is what you and the rest of us are up against. You don't know
22	what those standards are, you're going to have to find out.
23	MR. SCHOENBOHM: By which process?
24	JUDGE LUTON: That'll be up to you, Mr. Schoenbohm.
25	MR. SCHOENBOHM: You must agree that these things

1	should be made as easy as possible to be found out so there's
2	not a waste of time and waste of your resources and there must
3	be some way that this can be simplified.
4	JUDGE LUTON: Well
5	MR. SCHOENBOHM: I have a problem right now because
6	I would like to correct, correct the record. The record is
7	factually incorrect, but it and it continues to become even
8	more factually incorrect as additional motions are filed by
9	the, by the Bureau. And if I could speak specifically to
10	something on that issue, the original action had an incorrect
11	citation of the statute, the subsequent motion for summary
12	judgment has a correct citation of the statute and I'd like to
13	have everything corrected so we're talking about the same
14	thing and
15	JUDGE LUTON: Are you saying that there is some
16	error in the designation order?
17	MR. SCHOENBOHM: The designation order cites the
18	statute Section 1029 and states that it requires use in
19	interstate commerce. Reading the statute, it does not require
20	a use in interstate commerce, it requires an effect on
21	interstate commerce.
22	JUDGE LUTON: Well
23	MR. SCHOENBOHM: And this is a crucial element to
24	the offense which
25	JUDGE LUTON: Okay.

1	MR. SCHOENBOHM: for which this action has a
2	nexus to. And in the motion for summary judgment, that I just
3	received yesterday by fax, it has the correct citation of the
4	statute.
5	JUDGE LUTON: I don't know anything about any motion
6	for summary judgment. Is this something that the Commission
7	sent to you?
8	MR. SCHOENBOHM: It faxed me yesterday, it says "To
9	Administrative Law Judge Edward Luton."
10	JUDGE LUTON: It hasn't yet been received by me. I
11	don't know what that's about.
12	MR. SCHOENBOHM: But my point is, there isI, I
13	would like to be able to correct the record, the factual
14	errors that are just simply wrong as a matter of fact and
15	as a
16	JUDGE LUTON: All right.
17	MR. SCHOENBOHM: And I would like to know if there
18	is some vehicle for me insisting that we are all talking the
19	same language.
20	JUDGE LUTON: Well, what I can tell you is that my
21	position in the Commission's hierarchy is such that I cannot
22	change the hearing designation order either to correct it or
23	modify or it or do anything else. There is a way that it can
24	be done, but it's got to be according to the rules. What are
25	those rules? You'll have to look and find out.

1	Mr. Schoenbohm. If you've got difficulties with the hearing
2	designation order
3	MR. SCHOENBOHM: Well, I've raised those
4	JUDGE LUTON: If you had legal representation,
5	presumably your lawyer would know how to go about it. But
6	surely
7	MR. SCHOENBOHM: I can't afford that, sir.
8	JUDGE LUTON: discussing it with me isn't going
9	to result in any change at all because I am powerless to
10	change it.
11	MR. SCHOENBOHM: But I filed a motion to, to make
12	these corrections. Have you received a copy of that?
13	JUDGE LUTON: Mr. Schoenbohm, you didn't file a
14	motion with me did you?
15	MR. SCHOENBOHM: I said attention Administrative Law
16	Judge and three copies to the secretary.
17	JUDGE LUTON: I think you're talking about something
18	that was received by the Commission on February 22, 1995, it's
19	directed to the Commission, attention Enforcement Division,
20	Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, Room 5322. That's not the
21	Administrative Law Judge. As a matter of fact, I have a copy
22	of something called "Motion to Dismiss Hearing Designation
23	Order or in the Alternative Hold the Action in Abeyance Until
24	the Legality of the Conviction Now Before the District Court
25	Which the FCC Action is Based Upon Can Be Determined." Is

1	that what you're talking about?
2	MR. SCHOENBOHM: That's correct. Is that not before
3	you?
4	JUDGE LUTON: It was never filed with me. How did I
5	get it? Happenstance. Chief Judge somehow came up with a
6	copy. Of course he didn't do anything with it except turn it
7	over to me and of course I'm prepared to ignore it since it
8	wasn't properly filed with me. I see all the arguments that
9	you make in here but I'm not going to do anything with them.
10	I don't even know that the Bureau has reacted to this thing at
11	all, or even if it should, whether in the Bureau's view this
12	thing is properly filed or not. It just may be an improper
13	pleading in which event it is disposed of by simply dismissing
14	it.
15	MR. SCHOENBOHM: So, that's your determination?
16	JUDGE LUTON: I beg your pardon?
17	MR. SCHOENBOHM: Is that your determination, that
18	it's being dismissed?
19	JUDGE LUTON: It isn't even filed with me. I, I
20	would have to dismiss it and I will dismiss it formally since
21	it's not properly filed. It's not addressed to me, it's not
22	done in accord with the Commission's rules. I think I'd be
23	quite justified in dismissing it which I will do. That's my
24	determination in that regard.
25	MR. SCHOENBOHM: Are you dismissing it with or

1	without prejudice?
2	JUDGE LUTON: And what does that mean to you? With
3	prejudice means that you couldn't file it again?
4	MR. SCHOENBOHM: That's correct.
5	JUDGE LUTON: It hasn't been filed in the first
6	place as far as I'm concerned so I wouldn't, wouldn't presume
7	to prevent you from making a proper filing by dismissing an
8	improper one.
9	MR. SCHOENBOHM: All right.
10	JUDGE LUTON: The arguments that you've made in that
11	paper and the ones that you've prepared you seem prepared
12	to make this morning really constitute challenges to the
13	hearing designation order. That isn't going to work before me
14	because I'm powerless to change the designation order. You're
15	going to have to discover a way to go about challenging the
16	designation order in accordance with the Commission's rules,
17	to the extent that such challenges are even permitted. It
18	ain't easy in my experience. That's all I can tell you about
19	that. You want to know about standards, what standards to you
20	have to meet. Again, if you had legal representation, a
21	lawyer experienced in this business might be able to help you.
22	But you can't expect to get guidance that kind of guidance,
23	certainly not from me. I'm a neutral in this thing. I'm not
24	positioned to advise.
25	MR. SCHOENBOHM: Would you be able to grant a

1	request to proceed in forma pauperis?
2	JUDGE LUTON: I don't know. I think the
3	Commission's rules do provide for such a motion such a
4	request. If the appropriate showing can be made, of course
5	I'd proceed in accordance with the Commission's rules. I can
6	tell you that much.
7	MR. SCHOENBOHM: Is that request directed to you or
8	directed to the Commission?
9	JUDGE LUTON: I believe it's directed to me. I
10	think you you've got to take a look at the rules,
11	Mr. Schoenbohm. You know, the Chief Judge sent out an order
12	here which concludes by saying all parties are put on notice
13	that they are expected to be fully cognizant of Part 1 of the
14	Commission's rules and regulations concerning practice and
15	procedure. That language has meaning, Mr. Schoenbohm.
16	MR. SCHOENBOHM: I know it does, and I have read
17	Part 1 as best I could understand it as a layman.
18	JUDGE LUTON: Well, I think maybe there is something
19	in there about proceeding in forma pauperis. I believe there
20	is, so if you want to make that kind of motion or any other
21	kind of motion, just make sure that it's directed to the
22	proper place. That proper place now is me and I'll rule
23	MR. SCHOENBOHM: All right.
24	JUDGE LUTON: fairly in accordance with the
25	Commission's rules as I understand them.

1	MR. SCHOENBOHM: That sounds reasonable.
2	JUDGE LUTON: Mr. Fitz-Gibbons, does the Bureau have
3	anything that it wishes to contribute here?
4	MR. FITZ-GIBBONS: Your Honor, the Bureau's case is
5	going to consist of the court papers concerning
6	Mr. Schoenbohm's conviction. We don't plan on having any
7	other witnesses or exhibits in our direct case. However,
8	we if Mr. Schoenbohm calls witnesses we may have some
9	rebuttal witnesses and rebuttal exhibits.
10	JUDGE LUTON: Well, that'll depend on what might be
11	shown on Mr. Schoenbohm's direct whether you want rebuttal
12	witnesses.
13	MR. FITZ-GIBBONS: Yes, Your Honor.
14	JUDGE LUTON: But in the first instance, the Bureau
15	does not intend to call any witnesses. Instead, it intends to
16	rely solely on the court papers having to do with what the
17	designation order calls Mr. Schoenbohm's conviction?
18	MR. FITZ-GIBBONS: Yes, Your Honor.
19	MR. REIDELER: Well, Your Honor, we might add that
20	the way it appears at this moment. Perhaps
21	JUDGE LUTON: May change.
22	MR. REIDELER: may change before May 23rd.
23	JUDGE LUTON: All right, but at the present time,
24	that's all I'm asking, how do you see it at present. I
25	realize that things may change on both sides and that's quite

1	all right. If that should happen we'll work it out. Is there
2	anything else from the Bureau?
3	MR. FITZ-GIBBONS: Your Honor, I'd like to clarify
4	that we did file a motion for summary decision yesterday and a
5	copy was brought over here yesterday afternoon.
6	JUDGE LUTON: Okay. Just hasn't gotten to me yet.
7	It's still early in the day here. I'll take a look at it.
8	Motion for summary decision on what, the entire case, a
9	portion of it or what?
10	MR. FITZ-GIBBONS: The entire case, Your Honor.
11	JUDGE LUTON: The entire case. Mr. Schoenbohm,
12	again, I don't know how carefully you read the rules or how
13	well you've understood them, but every time the Bureau makes a
14	filing a proper filing you have an opportunity to respond
15	to that filing in writing. So now a motion for summary
16	decision has been filed. I would hope that you're planning to
17	make a response to that motion.
18	MR. SCHOENBOHM: I am, but I received it via fax and
19	I did not receive any of the exhibits attached to it so I
20	don't
21	JUDGE LUTON: Okay. I don't, I don't well
22	MR. SCHOENBOHM: I should at least be allowed to
23	have a true copy mailed to me with the exhibits.
24	JUDGE LUTON: I think so. A complete motion, you're
25	certainly entitled to that.

1	MR. FITZ-GIBBONS: Your Honor, we're sending it by
2	mail. We, we also faxed it for Mr. Schoenbohm's convenience.
3	JUDGE LUTON: Okay. Then I think, Mr. Schoenbohm,
4	the time within which you must respond to that motion, and
5	these time limitation are important because late filings can
6	be dismissed or ignored simply because they're late. But I
7	think that the whatever time the rules give provide,
8	rather, for making a response to a motion for summary decision
9	doesn't begin to run until the Bureau's filing is complete and
10	if you've only received a or if there has only been filed a
11	partial motion with some references not attached then the time
12	will not run of course until, until that filing is, is
13	properly made. And filing, as I understand it, doesn't have
14	to do with your receipt of the documents, it has to do with
15	the filing by the Bureau with the secretary. Is that right?
16	MR. FITZ-GIBBONS: That's my understanding, Your
17	Honor. It was filed with the secretary yesterday.
18	JUDGE LUTON: Okay. So, the even though you've
19	received the fax, Mr. Schoenbohm, I think what you need to pay
20	attention to is the date stamp, the secretary's stamp that
21	you'll find on the pleading when you do receive it.
22	MR. SCHOENBOHM: I understand. Of course, makes it
23	a little difficult not having the entire
24	JUDGE LUTON: You're going to have the but what
25	I'm saying is that you will have you will receive the

1	entire thing. You don't need to react to the facts, in other
2	words.
3	MR. SCHOENBOHM: Yeah. Well, I
4	JUDGE LUTON: You don't need to react to that. You
5	need to react to the filing that the Bureau has made with the
6	secretary in all of its completeness.
7	MR. SCHOENBOHM: I understand.
8	JUDGE LUTON: Attachments, everything else included.
9	
10	MR. FITZ-GIBBONS: Your Honor, if I may clarify.
11	JUDGE LUTON: Yes.
12	MR. FITZ-GIBBONS: We didn't fax all of the
13	attachments because of their voluminousness.
14	JUDGE LUTON: Um-hum.
15	MR. FITZ-GIBBONS: I did, I did however describe
16	these court papers to Mr. Schoenbohm and I believe, I believe
17	he, he has copies of those.
18	JUDGE LUTON: Okay. He may, he may have or he may
19	not. I think even so the Bureau's burden is to, to serve a
20	complete package. Presumably you filed, Mr. Fitz-Gibbons, a
21	complete package with the secretary.
22	MR. FITZ-GIBBONS: Yes, Your Honor.
23	JUDGE LUTON: Albeit voluminous, it was nevertheless
24	complete. That is what Mr. Schoenbohm presumably will
25	receive.

1	MR. FITZ-GIBBONS: Yes, Your Honor.
2	JUDGE LUTON: And, and when he does well, he will
3	then tally the time for response from the time that that big
4	package was filed with the secretary.
5	Mr. Schoenbohm, I don't think since the filing
6	was made with the secretary, a complete filing, I don't think
7	you'll really need to be concerned about not receiving the
8	attachments to the motion because I think you will receive
9	them.
10	MR. SCHOENBOHM: I understand.
11	JUDGE LUTON: Again, you have a certain amount of
12	time to make a response to that filing, Mr. Schoenbohm.
13	MR. SCHOENBOHM: I understand that.
14	JUDGE LUTON: Okay, and I would urge you to make
15	that response within that time. And I don't know whether you
16	know what it takes to defeat a motion for summary decision or
17	not, but that's what I'll be looking for.
18	MR. SCHOENBOHM: It doesn't state that in the rules,
19	by the way.
20	JUDGE LUTON: Well, it, it I think my
21	recollection is that it, it does somewhere in there and you'll
22	just have to find that.
23	MR. SCHOENBOHM: This is under Part 1, correct?
24	JUDGE LUTON: I think so. I believe there's a
25	discrete section on motions for summary decision. But in

1	any
2	MR. SCHOENBOHM: I see where it says this is
3	permitted for the Commission to do it. It doesn't essentially
4	say what I
5	JUDGE LUTON: Yeah, well
6	MR. SCHOENBOHM: has to be met, what burden has
7	to be met or what
8	JUDGE LUTON: Well, you're going to need some kind
9	of response and if you can well, I can't tell you anything
10	other than to make the response the way that you see fit to do
11	it.
12	MR. SCHOENBOHM: One thing I'd like to mention, I
13	would like to get a stipulation from the Bureau that if I can
14	establish that the federal conviction was vacated that the
15	action is dismissed.
16	JUDGE LUTON: You would like a stipulation saying
17	that the conviction was vacated?
18	MR. SCHOENBOHM: The federal conviction to which
19	this action is based on has been vacated
20	JUDGE LUTON: Um-hum.
21	MR. SCHOENBOHM: that the act should be
22	dismissed.
23	JUDGE LUTON: Okay. Well, I, I don't know whether
24	the Bureau is prepared to make such a stipulation now or
25	whether it'll want to talk to you privately about the matter